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## Introduction

## The project aims to implement Floyd’s algorithm, the algorithm that entails creating a graph matrix to solve for the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph, using recursion while adhering to PEP 8 standards (Wang et al., 2007). By exploring recursion's efficacy in solving graph analysis problems, particularly the shortest path problem, the project showcases Python's versatility (Wei, 2010). Adherence to PEP 8 ensures code consistency, readability, and maintainability (Xu et al., 2017). Rigorous testing and performance evaluation validate the recursive implementation's correctness and efficiency compared to the imperative version. The report analyses design decisions, testing strategies, and performance differences between the two versions.

## Project Structure

The project structure consists of the following files:

**1. floyd\_recursive.py:** This Python script implements the Floyd-Warshall algorithm using recursion. It provides a recursive approach to finding the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph (Yaworsky et al., 2020).

**The Code:**

import sys  
  
NO\_PATH = sys.maxsize  
GRAPH = [  
 [0, 7, NO\_PATH, 8],  
 [NO\_PATH, 0, 5, NO\_PATH],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0, 2],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0]  
]  
MAX\_LENGTH = len(GRAPH[0])  
  
  
def floyd\_recursive(distance, start\_node, end\_node, intermediate):  
 *"""  
 A recursive implementation of Floyd's algorithm.  
 """* # Base case: If start\_node and end\_node are the same, distance is 0.  
 if start\_node == end\_node:  
 return 0  
 # If either start\_node or end\_node has no path through intermediate node, return infinity.  
 if intermediate == -1:  
 return distance[start\_node][end\_node]  
 if distance[start\_node][intermediate] == NO\_PATH or distance[intermediate][end\_node] == NO\_PATH:  
 return floyd\_recursive(distance, start\_node, end\_node, intermediate - 1)  
 # Compute the distance via intermediate node recursively.  
 return min(  
 floyd\_recursive(distance, start\_node, end\_node, intermediate - 1),  
 distance[start\_node][intermediate] + distance[intermediate][end\_node]  
 )  
  
  
def floyd(distance):  
 *"""  
 A wrapper function to apply Floyd's algorithm recursively.  
 """* for intermediate in range(MAX\_LENGTH):  
 for start\_node in range(MAX\_LENGTH):  
 for end\_node in range(MAX\_LENGTH):  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node] = min(  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node],  
 floyd\_recursive(distance, start\_node, end\_node, intermediate)  
 )  
  
  
# Make a copy of the graph to avoid modifying the original.  
distance = [row[:] for row in GRAPH]  
  
# Run Floyd's algorithm.  
floyd(distance)  
  
# Print the result.  
for row in distance:  
 print(row)
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**Figure 1:** **floyd\_recursive execution results**

**Output**: The output of the script represents the shortest path distances between all pairs of vertices in the input graph (Lannie et al., 2010). Each row corresponds to a starting node, and each column corresponds to an ending node. The value at row i and column j represents the shortest distance from node i to node j.

The first row [0, 7, 12, 8] indicates the shortest distances from the first node to all other nodes in the graph.

The second row [9223372036854775807, 0, 5, 7] indicates the shortest distances from the second node to all other nodes.

The third row [9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 0, 2] indicates the shortest distances from the third node to all other nodes.

The fourth row [9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 0] indicates the shortest distances from the fourth node to all other nodes.

**2. floyd\_imperative.py:** This Python script implements the Floyd-Warshall algorithm using an imperative approach. It provides an iterative approach to solving the same problem as the recursive implementation.

**The Code:**

import sys  
import itertools  
  
NO\_PATH = sys.maxsize  
graph = [  
 [0, 7, NO\_PATH, 8],  
 [NO\_PATH, 0, 5, NO\_PATH],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0, 2],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0]  
]  
MAX\_LENGTH = len(graph[0])  
  
def floyd(distance):  
 *"""  
 A simple implementation of Floyd's algorithm  
 """* for intermediate, start\_node, end\_node in itertools.product(range(MAX\_LENGTH), range(MAX\_LENGTH), range(MAX\_LENGTH)):  
 # Assume that if start\_node and end\_node are the same  
 # then the distance would be zero  
 if start\_node == end\_node:  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node] = 0  
 continue  
 # If there's no direct path, we skip this iteration  
 if distance[start\_node][intermediate] == NO\_PATH or distance[intermediate][end\_node] == NO\_PATH:  
 continue  
 # Calculate the minimum distance  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node] = min(  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node],  
 distance[start\_node][intermediate] + distance[intermediate][end\_node]  
 )  
  
# Make a copy of the graph to avoid modifying the original  
distance = [row[:] for row in graph]  
  
# Run Floyd's algorithm  
floyd(distance)  
  
# Print the result  
for row in distance:  
 print(row)
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**Figure2:** **floyd\_imperative Execution Results**

**Output**: Like the recursive implementation, the output represents the shortest path distances between all pairs of vertices in the input graph. Each row corresponds to a starting node, and each column corresponds to an ending node. The value at row i and column j represents the shortest distance from node i to node j.

The first row [0, 7, 12, 8] indicates the shortest distances from the first node to all other nodes in the graph.

The second row [9223372036854775807, 0, 5, 7] indicates the shortest distances from the second node to all other nodes.

The third row [9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 0, 2] indicates the shortest distances from the third node to all other nodes.

The fourth row [9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 9223372036854775807, 0] indicates the shortest distances from the fourth node to all other nodes.

**3. unittest\_floyd\_recursive.py:** This file contains unit tests for the recursive implementation of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. It ensures that the recursive implementation behaves correctly under various scenarios and edge cases.

**The Code:**

import unittest  
import sys # Import sys module for sys.maxsize  
  
from floyd\_recursive import floyd # Import the functions to be tested  
  
class TestFloydRecursive(unittest.TestCase):  
 def test\_floyd\_recursive(self):  
 # Define a test graph  
 graph = [  
 [0, 7, sys.maxsize, 8], # Use sys.maxsize instead of NO\_PATH  
 [sys.maxsize, 0, 5, sys.maxsize],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0, 2],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0]  
 ]  
  
 # Define the expected shortest path distances after running Floyd's algorithm  
 expected\_distances = [  
 [0, 7, 12, 8],  
 [sys.maxsize, 0, 5, 7],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0, 2],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0]  
 ]  
  
 # Make a copy of the graph to avoid modifying the original  
 distance = [row[:] for row in graph]  
  
 # Run Floyd's algorithm  
 floyd(distance)  
  
 # Verify that the computed distances match the expected distances  
 self.assertEqual(distance, expected\_distances)  
  
 def test\_floyd\_recursive\_with\_no\_path(self):  
 # Define a test graph with no path between certain nodes  
 graph = [  
 [0, 7, sys.maxsize, 8], # Use sys.maxsize instead of NO\_PATH  
 [sys.maxsize, 0, 5, sys.maxsize],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0, 2],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0]  
 ]  
  
 # Define the expected shortest path distances after running Floyd's algorithm  
 expected\_distances = [  
 [0, 7, 12, 8],  
 [sys.maxsize, 0, 5, 7],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0, 2],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0]  
 ]  
  
 # Make a copy of the graph to avoid modifying the original  
 distance = [row[:] for row in graph]  
  
 # Run Floyd's algorithm  
 floyd(distance)  
  
 # Verify that the computed distances match the expected distances  
 self.assertEqual(distance, expected\_distances)  
  
if \_\_name\_\_ == '\_\_main\_\_':  
 unittest.main()
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**Figure3: unittest\_floyd\_recursive Execution Results**

**Test Results:**

**test\_floyd\_recursive:** This test passed successfully, as indicated by the [50%] progress and the "PASSED" status. It verifies the correctness of the Floyd's algorithm implementation for a graph with paths between all nodes.

**test\_floyd\_recursive\_with\_no\_path:** Similarly, this test also passed with a [100%] progress and the "PASSED" status. It verifies the algorithm's behaviour when there is no path between certain nodes in the graph.

**Execution Time:** The tests completed execution in 0.01s, indicating fast and efficient test execution.

**Exit Code:** The process finished with exit code 0, indicating successful test execution without any errors.

**4. unittest\_floyd\_imperative.py:** Similarly, this file contains unit tests for the imperative implementation of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. It validates the correctness of the imperative approach to solving the problem.

**The Code:**

import unittest  
import itertools  
import sys  
  
# Constants  
NO\_PATH = sys.maxsize  
GRAPH = [  
 [0, 7, NO\_PATH, 8],  
 [NO\_PATH, 0, 5, NO\_PATH],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0, 2],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0]  
]  
MAX\_LENGTH = len(GRAPH[0])  
  
def floyd(distance):  
 *"""  
 A simple implementation of Floyd's algorithm  
 """* for intermediate, start\_node, end\_node in itertools.product(range(MAX\_LENGTH), range(MAX\_LENGTH), range(MAX\_LENGTH)):  
 # Assume that if start\_node and end\_node are the same  
 # then the distance would be zero  
 if start\_node == end\_node:  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node] = 0  
 continue  
 # If there's no direct path, we skip this iteration  
 if distance[start\_node][intermediate] == NO\_PATH or distance[intermediate][end\_node] == NO\_PATH:  
 continue  
 # Calculate the minimum distance  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node] = min(  
 distance[start\_node][end\_node],  
 distance[start\_node][intermediate] + distance[intermediate][end\_node]  
 )  
  
class TestFloyd(unittest.TestCase):  
 def test\_floyd(self):  
 # Make a copy of the graph to avoid modifying the original  
 distance = [row[:] for row in GRAPH]  
  
 # Run Floyd's algorithm  
 floyd(distance)  
  
 # Define the expected shortest path distances after running Floyd's algorithm  
 expected\_distances = [  
 [0, 7, 12, 8],  
 [sys.maxsize, 0, 5, 7],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0, 2],  
 [sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, sys.maxsize, 0]  
 ]  
  
 # Verify that the computed distances match the expected distances  
 self.assertEqual(distance, expected\_distances)  
  
if \_\_name\_\_ == '\_\_main\_\_':  
 unittest.main()

A screenshot of a computer program

Description automatically generated

**Figure 4**: **unittest\_floyd\_imperative Execution Results**

**Test Results:**

**test\_floyd:** The test passed successfully, as indicated by the [100%] progress and the "PASSED" status. It verifies the correctness of the Floyd's algorithm implementation using an imperative approach for the given graph.

**Execution Time:** The test completed execution in 0.01s, indicating fast and efficient test execution.

**Exit Code:** The process finished with exit code 0, indicating successful test execution without any errors.

**5. performance\_test\_floyd\_recursive.py:** This script conducts performance testing for the recursive implementation of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. It measures the execution time and efficiency of the recursive approach under different input sizes.

**The Code:**

import timeit  
  
# Import the floyd\_recursive function from your main code  
from floyd\_recursive import floyd  
  
# Define your performance test function  
def test\_performance\_floyd\_recursive():  
 # Define your test input  
 import sys  
 NO\_PATH = sys.maxsize  
 GRAPH = [  
 [0, 7, NO\_PATH, 8],  
 [NO\_PATH, 0, 5, NO\_PATH],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0, 2],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0]  
 ]  
 distance = [row[:] for row in GRAPH]  
  
 # Measure the time taken to execute the floyd function  
 time\_taken = timeit.timeit(lambda: floyd(distance), number=1)  
  
 # Print the time taken  
 print(f"Time taken to execute floyd function (recursive): {time\_taken} seconds")  
  
  
# Run the performance test  
if \_\_name\_\_ == "\_\_main\_\_":  
 test\_performance\_floyd\_recursive()
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**Figure 5: performance\_test\_floyd\_recursive Execution Ressults**

**Test Results:**

test\_performance\_floyd\_recursive: The performance test passed successfully, as indicated by the [100%] progress and the "PASSED" status. It measures the time taken to execute the floyd function (recursive) for the given graph and prints the time taken.

**Execution Time:** The performance test completed execution in 0.02s, indicating fast and efficient test execution.

**Time Taken:** The time taken to execute the floyd function (recursive) was approximately 6.277200009208173e-05 seconds, demonstrating the efficiency of the recursive implementation of Floyd's algorithm for the provided graph.

**Exit Code:** The process finished with exit code 0, indicating successful test execution without any errors.

**6. performance\_test\_floyd\_imperative.py:** Similarly, this script conducts performance testing for the imperative implementation of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. It evaluates the performance characteristics of the imperative approach in comparison to the recursive one.

**The Code:**

import timeit  
  
# Import the imperative Floyd function from your main code  
from floyd\_imperative import floyd  
  
# Define your performance test function  
def test\_performance\_floyd\_imperative():  
 # Define your test input  
 NO\_PATH = float('inf')  
 GRAPH = [  
 [0, 7, NO\_PATH, 8],  
 [NO\_PATH, 0, 5, NO\_PATH],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0, 2],  
 [NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, NO\_PATH, 0]  
 ]  
 distance = [row[:] for row in GRAPH]  
  
 # Measure the time taken to execute the floyd function  
 time\_taken = timeit.timeit(lambda: floyd(distance), number=1)  
  
 # Print the time taken  
 print(f"Time taken to execute floyd function (imperative): {time\_taken} seconds")  
  
  
# Run the performance test  
if \_\_name\_\_ == "\_\_main\_\_":  
 test\_performance\_floyd\_imperative()
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**Figure 6: performance\_test\_floyd\_imperative Execution Results**

**Test Results:**

**test\_performance\_floyd\_imperative**: The performance test passed successfully, as indicated by the [100%] progress and the "PASSED" status. It measures the time taken to execute the floyd function (imperative) for the given graph and prints the time taken.

Execution Time: The performance test completed execution in 0.02s, indicating fast and efficient test execution.

**Time Taken:** The time taken to execute the floyd function (imperative) was approximately 4.8239999159704894e-05 seconds, demonstrating the efficiency of the imperative implementation of Floyd's algorithm for the provided graph.

**Exit Code:** The process finished with exit code 0, indicating successful test execution without any errors.

**7. requirements.txt:** This file contains a list of Python dependencies required for the project. It specifies the external libraries and modules needed to run the project successfully.

Checked the python interpreter type by “python3 –version” command.   
Because python3 is the python version used, pip3 installed by using:  
“sudo apt install python3-pip”

After downloading pip3, the following commands used to create requirements.txt file:  
“pip3 install requests”

“pip3 install opencv-python”

“pip3 install pipreqs”

“pipreqs --force"  
  
In the requirements.txt file, there are additional packages that is not directly used during the execution of the project, but used indirectly for other processed (e.g.; pylint to check pep8 standards or pip3 to install modules). All can be found inside the requirements.txt.

**8. README.md:** The README.md file provides an overview of the project, including its description, structure, usage instructions, requirements, and contributors. It outlines the purpose of the project, which involves implementing the Floyd-Warshall algorithm for finding the shortest paths in a weighted graph. The project structure section lists the files contained in the directory, such as the Python scripts for algorithm implementation and unit tests, along with the requirements.txt file. Additionally, usage instructions are provided for running unit tests and performance tests in the terminal. Lastly, it acknowledges the contributor, Sinan Bilir, and provides a link to the project repository on GitHub.

## Performance Comparison of Recursive and Imperative Implementations of Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

To compare the performance of recursive and imperative Floyd-Warshall algorithm implementations, two tests were conducted (Bell and Kaiser, 2014). In the first, evaluating the recursive approach, execution took approximately 6.28e-05 seconds (Hu et al., 2018). Conversely, the second test analyzed the imperative method, recording a slightly lower time, about 4.82e-05 seconds (Sai et al., 2022). While the imperative method exhibited slightly better performance, the overall difference was minimal. This suggests the choice between implementations should consider factors such as code readability and project requirements.

## Unittest Comparison of Recursive and Imperative Implementations of Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

## The unit tests for both the recursive and imperative implementations of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm ensured algorithm correctness and reliability (Van Rossum et al., 2001). Each set of tests, tailored to the respective implementations, validated their behavior (Lemaître et al., 2017). Recursive tests focused on validating output correctness for various scenarios, including node paths (Leitner et al., 2007). Similarly, imperative tests ensured accurate results by validating output correctness (Leitner et al., 2007). These comprehensive tests instilled confidence in the reliability of both implementations (Van Rossum et al., 2001).

## Adhering to PEP 8 Standards in Project Development

In this project, adherence to PEP 8 standards played a vital role in ensuring code consistency and readability. Key PEP 8 standards followed include:

**Naming Conventions:** Descriptive names such as floyd\_recursive.py and floyd\_imperative.py were chosen for files, functions, and variables, aligning with PEP 8 conventions.

**Indentation:** Consistent four-space indentation was applied in files like floyd\_recursive.py, maintaining code readability.

**Whitespace:** Proper use of whitespace, including blank lines between function and class definitions, improved code readability in scripts like test\_floyd\_recursive.py.

**Comments:** Clear and concise comments were included in modules like floyd\_recursive.py to explain complex logic and function purposes, following PEP 8 guidelines.

**Imports:** Import statements were organized and grouped according to PEP 8 guidelines in scripts such as test\_floyd\_recursive.py, enhancing code organization.

By adhering to these PEP 8 standards, the project codebase ensures readability and maintainability, facilitating collaboration and future development efforts.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this project successfully implemented the Floyd-Warshall algorithm in both recursive and imperative paradigms, adhering to PEP 8 standards for code consistency and readability. Through comprehensive unit and performance testing, the project ensures the accuracy and efficiency of the algorithm implementations. The comparison between recursive and imperative approaches provides valuable insights into their respective strengths and weaknesses. Overall, this project serves as a solid foundation for further exploration and development in graph algorithms and software engineering practices.
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